

# Assignment 9

## Theoretical Neuroscience

Misha Ahrens (ahrens@gatsby.ucl.ac.uk)

Due December 15, 2005

### 1. Linear analysis

Consider an equation of the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z} \quad (1)$$

which in component form looks like  $dz_i/dt = \sum_j A_{ij}z_j$ . (The “.” notation, favored by physicists worldwide, can be used for multiplying both matrices with vectors and vectors with vectors. For the former, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z}$  is  $\sum_j A_{ij}z_j$ , and for the latter  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$ .)

Define  $\mathbf{v}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger$ , and  $\lambda_k$  via the equations

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \quad (2b)$$

The  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger$  are eigenvectors and adjoint eigenvectors, respectively (the latter sometimes called left eigenvectors), and the  $\lambda_k$  are the associated eigenvalues. If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix (which would mean that  $\mathbf{z}$  has  $n$  components), there are  $n$  eigenvectors. Assume a normalization such that  $\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_l^\dagger = \delta_{kl}$ .

Show that if  $\mathbf{z}$  evolves according to Eq. (1) and  $\mathbf{z}(t=0) = \mathbf{z}_0$ , then

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \sum_k \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{z}_0 e^{\lambda_k t} \quad (3)$$

**Remember this! If you stay in computational neuroscience, you will use it over and over and over.**

### 2. Stability analysis

Consider two dynamical variables,  $x$  and  $y$ , that evolve according to

$$dx/dt = ax + by \quad (4a)$$

$$dy/dt = cx + dy \quad (4b)$$

As usual, the trace ( $T$ ) and determinant ( $D$ ) are given by  $T = a + d$  and  $D = ad - bc$ .

**2a.** Consider the following four cases:

- 1)  $T < 0, D > 0$ ,
- 2)  $T < 0, D < 0$ ,
- 3)  $T > 0, D > 0$ ,
- 4)  $T > 0, D < 0$ .

Choose values of  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  that are consistent with each case, and sketch the nullclines and trajectories in  $x$ - $y$  space. To make life easier for Misha, draw the  $x$ -nullcline in blue and the  $y$ -nullcline in red. To make contact with what we did in class, you may want to make  $a$  and  $c$  positive and  $b$  and  $d$  negative, but this is not necessary.

**2b.** Show that when  $T^2 < 4D$ ,  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  are given by

$$x(t) = x_0 e^{-\lambda_r t} \cos(\lambda_i t + \phi_x) \quad (5a)$$

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{-\lambda_r t} \cos(\lambda_i t + \phi_y) \quad (5b)$$

where  $\lambda_r$  and  $\lambda_i$  are the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues (see problem 1) and  $x_0, y_0, \phi_x$  and  $\phi_y$  are constants.

At some point in your lives you should compute  $x_0, y_0, \phi_x$  and  $\phi_y$  in terms of  $a, b, c, d$  and the initial conditions, but this is *not* part of the homework assignment. Merry Christmas.

### 3. Mean field analysis

Consider firing rate equations of the form

$$\tau \frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = \phi \left( \gamma \bar{\nu} + \frac{\beta}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i (\eta_j - f) \nu_j \right) - \nu_i \quad (6)$$

where  $N$  is the number of neurons,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are constants,  $\bar{\nu}$  is, as usual, the firing rate averaged over neurons,

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \nu_i, \quad (7)$$

$\eta$  is a random binary vector,

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability } f \\ 0 & \text{probability } (1-f), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

and  $\phi$  is monotonically increasing.

Let

$$m = \frac{1}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_i (\eta_i - f)\nu_i. \quad (7)$$

Note that  $m$  is the firing rate of the “memory” neurons relative to the mean firing rate, with an extra factor of  $1/(1-f)$  thrown in to simplify the equations that you will derive.

**3a.** Derive *dynamical* mean field equations for  $\bar{v}$  and  $m$  in the large  $N$  limit. By “dynamical,” I mean derive equations for  $d\bar{v}/dt$  and  $dm/dt$ .

**3b.** Sketch the nullclines for  $\bar{v}$  and  $m$  assuming  $\phi$  is approximately sigmoidal.